



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/767,635

01/28/2004

Masatsugu Hatanaka

60712 (49321)

5425

7590

08/19/2005

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman
Intellectual Property Practice Group
Edwards & Angell, LLP
P.O. Box 9169
Boston, MA 02209

EXAMINER

GHATT, DAVE A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2854

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/767,635

Applicant(s)

HATANAKA ET AL.

Examiner

Dave A. Ghatt

Art Unit

2854

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4 and 5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4 and 5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ohtsuki (US 5,920,758) in view of Green (US 5,513,839). As illustrated in Figures 1 and 3,

Ohtsuki teaches the claimed image forming apparatus. Figure 2 of Ohtsuki illustrates an image

scanning section 100 located at an upper part of a housing of the apparatus for scanning an

original to obtain image information therefrom. Figures 1 and 2 also illustrate a sheet feeding

section (shown generally at 10) located at a lower part of the housing for feeding sheets used for

image forming. Figures 1 and 2 show an image forming section 200 disposed between the image

scanning section 100 and the sheet feeding section 10 at one side of the housing. As shown in

Figure 2, Ohtsuki teaches the image scanning section 100, the image forming section 200 and the

sheet feeding section 10 arranged generally in a U shape cross-section in the housing. As shown

in Figure 1, a sheet delivery portion 300 is formed in an inner empty space of the housing just

between the image scanning section 100 and the sheet feeding section 10. As shown in Figure 4,

Ohtsuki teaches one side and the front of the inner empty space contiguously open to the exterior

of the apparatus without any structure obstructing the front or the one side of the inner empty

space 300, allowing a user to grasp and remove the discharged printed sheets from either the

Art Unit: 2854

front or the one side of the apparatus. See also column 3 line 67 to column 4 line 3. The applicant should note that the scanner support element 400' is a part of the entire image scanning section. The inner empty space formed below the image scanning section (having scanner support 400') remains free of an obstructing structure. Figure 3 shows, and column 6 lines 1-22 outlines the structure where, the length (L1) of the sheet delivery portion 300 as measured along a sheet transport direction is made smaller than the length (L2) of a maximum printable sheet size.

Ohtsuki teaches all the claimed structure for an image forming apparatus, further including sheet output rollers 14. However, the output rollers of Ohtsuki do not include a shifter mechanism as recited. Green teaches a shifter mechanism as claimed. As taught in column 2 lines 63-67, Green teaches sheet output rollers that are used as offset rollers for offsetting printed sheets along a direction perpendicular to the sheet transport direction to selectively discharge the printed sheets to different sheet delivery positions on the sheet delivery portion. Figures 1 and 2 of Green also illustrate discharge rollers mounted on shifting shafts 21 and 22. To one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to use a sheet output shifter mechanism system, as taught by Green, in the invention of Ohtsuki, in order to distinguish imaged sheets from different print jobs.

With respect to claim 4, as outlined above, Ohtsuki teaches all the claimed structure for an image forming apparatus, further including sheet output rollers 14. However, the output rollers of Ohtsuki do not include a shifter mechanism as recited. Green teaches discharge rollers with a shifter mechanism as claimed. As shown in Figures 1 and 2, Green teaches an offset unit mounted in the apparatus movably along the direction perpendicular to the sheet transport direction. Green also teaches offset rollers (18,19) for ejecting the printed sheets. As illustrated

Art Unit: 2854

in Figures 1 and 2, and as outlined in column 5 line 64 to column 6 line 3, Green teaches the shifting of the offset unit along the direction perpendicular to the sheet transport direction, utilizing offsetting force generator M1 to provide this function. Green also teaches a driving force transmission part, spleen drive 31, connected to the offset rollers (18,19), and a roller turning force generator M2 for turning the driving force transmission part. To one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to use a sheet output shifter mechanism system, as taught by Green, in the invention of Ohtsuki, in order to distinguish imaged sheets from different print jobs.

With respect to claim 5, as shown in Figure 7 of the primary reference Ohtsuki, the apparatus includes the sheet delivery portion shaped to form a generally horizontal sheet receiving surface extending in a direction perpendicular to a sheet output direction.

Response to Arguments

3. The examiner has considered the applicant's amendments and arguments filed July 29, 2005. With respect to the applicant's argument that the rejection based on Ohtsuki and Green is influenced by hindsight, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The applicant should note that shifting mechanisms in copying devices are well known, and as a result the claimed subject matter would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art over Ohtsuki in view of Green.

With respect to the amendment, specifically the language "without any structure obstructing the front or the one side of the inner empty space," the primary reference Ohtsuki teaches this limitation. As outlined in the rejection statement, the scanner support element 400'

Art Unit: 2854

is a part of the entire image scanning section. The inner empty space formed below the image scanning section (having scanner support 400') remains free of an obstructing structure. The structure shown in Figure 4 of Ohtsuki is all that is required to meet the limitations set forth by this language.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave A. Ghatt whose telephone number is (571) 272-2165. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAG



**REN YAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**